

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/010,270	12/06/2001	Harold J. Plourde JR.	60374.0040US02/CPOL968020 5626		
62658 7590 10/13/2010 MERCHANT & GOULD				EXAMINER	
SCIENTIFIC ATLANTA, A CISCO COMPANY P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			NGUYEN BA, HOANG VU A		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2421		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				10/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/010,270	PLOURDE ET AL.	
Review		Art Unit	
Review	John W. Miller	2421	

Thi

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brie	of Request for Review filed 17 August 2010.
 Improper Request – The Requeston(s): 	uest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include	been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. reasons why a review is appropriate. cluded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from unication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under a is required to submit an appeal brief i brief will be reset to be one month fro running from the receipt of the notice	ppeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applican a accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal im mailling this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the FR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date.
☐ The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from conside	ne status of the claim(s) is as follows:
	ference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of n on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	erence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office on is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) John W. Miller.	(3)
(2) Antony Nguyen Ba.	(4)
/John W. Miller/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2421	